



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.37, No.40

October 19th, 2001

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: " 'Britain finally won,' echoed Roberts, 'but at appalling cost, and ruin for her standing in the world.' This too was what we had argued in our first volume, although it is fair to state that A.J.P. Taylor had argued much the same case over twenty years earlier, writing this criticism of Churchill's May 13, 1940 'victory at all costs' speech to the House of Commons: 'This was exactly what the opponents of Churchill had feared... Victory, even if this meant placing the British empire in pawn to the United States; victory, even if it meant Soviet domination of Europe.'... Our first volume dented, if it did not destroy, the myth that Britain was seriously at risk of invasion in the summer of 1940: as the documents show... at all material times Hitler was bluffing with Sea Lion; it was always intended by him as a grand deception, to divert attention from Barbarossa, his coming attack on the 'judæo-bolshevik' Soviet Union... Others now echo our view that Churchill knew from codebreaking "that Hitler was only bluffing; but for reasons of domestic politics Churchill fostered the fiction in his public speeches . . ."

– "Churchill's War 2: Triumph in Adversity" by David Irving 2001

DOUG COLLINS R.I.P. by Phillip D. Butler:

Douglas Collins, a personal friend, passed away after a brief illness in the Lions Gate Hospital, North Vancouver, on Sunday, September 30th. He was an inspiration, he never stopped looking for Truth.

When I first got to know Doug in Canada, then a leading columnist, radio and TV broadcaster, he was dubbed 'a screaming left-winger'. The immigration policies in Canada changed his thinking and started him on a course where he ended up as one of the most outspoken critics of the multi-cult society which the Trudeaus and their ilk were inflicting on Canadians.

He discovered there was a definite programme supported by the Zionists, and eventually this led to his problems with the Human Rights Commissions.

Probably the most revealing moment for him was the launch of Irving's *Churchill's War Vol.1*; after all, he had his own experience as a prisoner of war in Europe to draw on and 'Winnie' was his wartime hero.

As chairman for the launch, I remember it well. Retired British Columbia Supreme Court Judge Leslie Bewley introduced Irving – but Doug Collins was not at all happy with what Irving had to say about Churchill.

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Doug Collins R.I.P.* • *Ideas Have Legs* • *Real History and Islamic Terrorism* • *Who Controls America?*

Doug was first to his feet to ask some telling questions; Irving in the manner of the researcher he is, answered them with facts. This led Doug to do his own research and check out Irving – it totally changed his approach to accepted history and he became a firm friend of Irving.

Being of British origins, he had that sense of humour which probably irritated his enemies. One instance was his review of the movie *Schindler's List*, referring to it as *Swindler's List* in his column in the *North Shore News*. The review prompted his enemies in British Columbia to have special legislation passed and he, his editor and the newspaper were dragged before the Human Rights Commission. For his part, Doug refused to pay the fine imposed on him, nor would he apologise for what he had written, and was due to test the law in the Supreme Court of Canada next month. Douglas Christie was to represent him in court.

I was privileged in having a part in bringing Doug Collins to Australia where he was guest of honour at one of the New Times Dinners. He warned Australians of what to expect with the same type of legislation being imposed here.

I would like to extend to his wife Betty and family, on behalf of the League family in this country, our sincere condolences. Douglas Collins, a brave soldier for freedom.

IDEAS HAVE LEGS by Douglas Collins – taken from *The Collins Column*, 21/9/01:

Nearly two weeks after the bombing disasters in the US the mind still boggles at it all. All other news seems to be irrelevant, and not worth talking about.

"We are at war," scream the headlines. And the shock we have been given is worse than what happened in September 1939. At that time we knew what was coming. This time we were taken by surprise. There was a reason for that. It lay in our innocence and our stupidity. For in fact the 'new' war had been going on for years. In the *National Post*, Barbara Amiel, one of Zionism's leading ladies, was right about that. But she was wrong in calling in effect for a Holy War against Islam, which we could not win without mass destruction. And perhaps not 'win' at all, the nature of war having changed. She was wrong, too, in assuming that all the terrorism comes from one side.

We have, you see, been the victims of our own press releases. Or of the press releases we have been allowed to see. I do not mean by that that it was impossible to find out what was going on in Islam, but that the emphasis has been slanted.

For 50 years or so we have assumed, in the main, that Israel could do little wrong and that the treatment of the Jews by the Nazis justified Israel's toughness. Which, of course, left the Palestinians out of the equation.

In that respect it is worth considering an article by Middle East correspondent Robert Fisk in *The Independent* that would not be published in the mainstream media in Canada or the US.

"This is not the war of democracy versus terror that the world will be asked to believe in the coming hours and days," he wrote. "It is also about American missiles crashing into Palestinian homes. Ask an Arab how he responds to 20 or 30 thousand innocent deaths (in the U S) and he or she will respond as good and decent people should, that it is an unspeakable crime. But they will ask why we did not use such words about the sanctions that have destroyed perhaps half a million children in Iraq, why we did not rage about the 17,500 civilians killed in Israel's 1982 invasion of Lebanon, why we

allowed one nation in the Middle East to ignore UN Security Council resolutions but bombed and sanctioned all others who did."

Fisk deplores the dreadful events in New York and Washington but is not alone in making such comments.

David Dimbleby, a top BBC interviewer, who brought a former US Ambassador to tears by stating that "millions of people across the world hate the US." So as we 'go to war', an apparently blind war against whom we are not sure, the genie appears to have escaped the bottle.

An American who was writing before the tragedies in the US took place is Doug Casey, a man who is deeply involved in the market and puts out the *International Speculator* newsletter. In a recent edition, published just before the attacks on the World Trade Centre, one of his chapter headings read, "Waiting for WWII", in which he predicted an early escalation of the conflict between the US and Islam.

"*Boobus Americanus*," he wrote, "*has been programmed for a generation to see Muslims as The Enemy. The US Government consistently supports Israel, which the Muslims regard as an outlaw, terrorist state. Show me a single movie since 'Lawrence of Arabia' in which Muslims are portrayed sympathetically.*"

"US troops," he went on, "*are an accident waiting to happen. Who, after all could have predicted that the US would invade Somalia in 1991, a country few people other than stamp collectors even knew existed? No place is safe from being attacked in the National Interest of the world's self-appointed policeman.*"

Which reminds me that it was our American friends, inspired by Madeleine Albright, who led the West to war in Kosovo. Why? To defend the Albanian Muslims against the Serbs. What an irony! Especially as the Albanians have turned out to be not a whit better than the Serbs. In war, as the saying goes, truth is the first casualty. In this case it was the first casualty long before the war started.

Against whom are we going to fight? It is not a country. It is an idea. Ideas have legs, and this one may have as many legs as a centipede.

REAL HISTORY AND ISLAMIC TERRORISM – David Irving's *Action Report*:

INTERNET FIRM KNEW OF WTC ATTACK TWO HOURS BEFORE.

The Washington Post has published the extraordinary fact that an Internet firm in the World Trade Centre received two hours' warning from Israel of the impending terrorist attack. It kept this privileged information to itself and its employees, evidently, passing it on to the FBI only belatedly, and not before first sending it to the Israeli authorities. One may suspect that The Mossad had an informant who briefed them in good time, and that The Mossad, if it believed the story, decided to let the Sturm Iosbrechen, such an atrocity being – as Benjamin Netanyahu incautiously blurted out to *The New York Times*, after the acts of mass murder took place – "very good" for Israel.

Were this story not from *The Washington Post*, I would have placed the item in the bin where I have tossed all the other rumours and scuttlebut, for instance about the 4,000 Jewish employees who did

not turn up for work at the WTC that day, and the Wall Street firms that traded 'futures' in a manner designed to make profits for them if the WTC towers and (the airline shares) should crash...

The other item of interest is an article by Robert Fisk in Saturday's London newspaper *The Independent*. Rather more forcefully and certainly better informed than I, he casts doubt on the content, and perhaps even the authenticity, of that handwritten, five-page 'letter' of which the FBI says it found copies in the baggage of one hijacker, in a car, and at the site of the Pennsylvania crash. Fisk calls the letter "fearful, chilling, grotesque – but also very, very odd."

"In the name of God," the document begins, "of myself, and of my family." No Muslim, says Fisk, would include his family in such a prayer. He also finds fault with the remark, uncharacteristic of a Muslim, "The time of Fun and waste is gone." Mahommed Atta, or one of his associates, is reported to have written in the note, "Be optimistic... Check all your items – your bag, your clothes, your knives, your will, your IDs, your passport... In the morning, try to pray the morning prayer with an open heart."

The writer whoever he really was, told his fellow hijackers to "remind yourself this night you will face many challenges. But you have to face them and understand it 100 percent." That "100 percent" bothers Fisk, and it does sound out of key. Nor would a devout Muslim need to be reminded of his duty to say his prayers or to be reminded of the text.

As the journalist points out, the full text has not been released by the FBI. Dropping a broad hint as to who may have authored, or at least translated the letter, Fisk writes that it suggests an almost Christian view of what the hijackers might have felt - asking to be forgiven for their sins, explaining that fear of death is natural, that "a believer is always plagued with problems."

If the letter is a US Government forgery, on the other hand, it is strange that it includes no references to any of Osama bin Laden's demands – for an American withdrawal from the Gulf, an end to Israeli occupation, the overthrow of pro-American Arab regimes. Fisk notes that CIA translators have previously turned out to be Lebanese Maronite Christians. "Or is there something more mysterious," he asks, "about the background of those who committed a crime against humanity in New York and Washington, just over two weeks ago?"

We await the FBI's further releases, which may well answer these awkward questions.

WHO CONTROLS AMERICA? – Sharon Admits Jewish Control of America

Occupied Jerusalem: 3/10/2001 (IAP News) from Neil Baird's e-mail.

An acrimonious argument erupted during the Israeli cabinet weekly session last week between Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon and his foreign Minister Shimon Peres during which Sharon reportedly yelled at Peres, saying "don't worry about American pressure, we control America."

According to the Israeli Hebrew radio, *Col Yisrael* Wednesday, Peres warned Sharon that refusing to heed incessant American requests for a cease-fire with the Palestinians would endanger Israeli interests and turn the US against us. At this point, a furious Sharon reportedly turned toward Peres, saying "every time we do something you tell me America will do this and will do that... I want to tell you something very clear, don't worry about American pressure on Israel, we, the Jewish people control America, and the Americans know it."

The radio said Peres and other cabinet ministers warned Sharon against saying what he said in public because "it would cause us a public relations disaster."

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$40.00 p.a.